

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/054,419	Powers et al.
	Examiner Sandra Saucier	Art Unit 1651

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sandra Saucier

(3) C. Lloyd

(2) K. Cornaby

(4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 19, 2003

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Hill et al., US 5,760,406, US 5,968,766

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants will submit further arguments and amendments in an effort to further prosecution.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

SANDRA SAUCIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1651

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.